

*Application Serial No. 10/670,091*  
*Reply to Office Action of November 2, 2006*

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The Examiner objects to the drawings because reference character "5" is still employed to designate both the flock and release agent. Applicant has amended the specification to overcome this rejection.

The Examiner objects to claim 41 as being of improper dependent form. Claim 41 has been canceled.


The Examiner rejects claim 11 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claim 11 has been canceled.

The Examiner next rejects claims 1-14 and 41-53 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Applicant has amended the claim to overcome the rejection.

Based upon the foregoing and upon the Examiner's statements in the Advisory Action, Applicants believe that all pending claims are in condition for allowance and such disposition is respectfully requested. In the event that a telephone conversation would further prosecution and/or expedite allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

By:   
Matthew R. Ellsworth  
Registration No. 56,345  
1560 Broadway, Suite 1200  
Denver, Colorado 80202-5141  
(303) 863-9700

Date: February 2, 2007